

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/664,892	09/22/2003	Christian Wulff	PF51063-03 4266		
26474	7590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER		
KEIL & WE	- -	SHIAO, REI TSANG			
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1626		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/664,892		WULFF ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	Robert Shiao		1626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exter - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, ho ly within the statutory r will apply and will expi e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from t n to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•						
2a)								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 3 and 26-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 and 26-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/368,577. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(e)							
_	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	•			
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>0504</u> .	5) [6) [Paper No(s)/Mail Da)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,892

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application claims benefit of the foreign application:

GERMANY 19962601.4 with a filing date 12/23, 1999.

2. Claims 3 and 26-38 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 and 26-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter "Y is a radical which is readily exchangeable for H", which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, see claim 3, line 6.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1626

Claim 28, line 1, recites the limitation "wherein step (a)", which is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitation "wherein step (a)" is not found in the base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dever et al. US 3,459,837.

Applicants claim a process of making triacyl phosphite compounds of formula (III), P(OCOR³)₃, by reacting carboxylic acid compounds of formula (IV) or its salt, R³COOH, with phosphorus trihalide. This process is found in the pages 6-7 of the instant specification.

Dever et al. disclose a process of making phosphite compounds, i.e., P(OCCH₃)₃, by reacting phosphorus trihalide with carboxylic acid salt, see columns 1-4, specifically lines 60-72, scheme I of column 1. Dever et al. processes clearly anticipate the instant

processes, wherein triacyl phosphite (R³ is methyl) compounds, i.e., P(OCCH₃)₃, are

Application/Control Number: 10/664,892

Art Unit: 1626

prepared by reacting carboxylic acid compounds salt (i.e, CH₃COONa) with phosphorus trihalide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dever et al. US 3,459,837.

Applicants claim a process of making triacyl phosphite compounds of formula (III), P(OCOR³)₃, by reacting carboxylic acid compounds of formula (IV) or its salt, R³COOH, with phosphorus trihalide. This process is found in the pages 6-7 of the instant specification.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Dever et al. disclose a process of making triacyl phosphite compounds, i.e.,

P(OCCH₃)₃, by reacting phosphorus trihalide with carboxylic acid salt, see columns 1-4, specifically lines 60-72, scheme I of column 1.

<u>Determination of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/664,892

Art Unit: 1626

The difference between the instant claims and Dever et al. is that the instant claims silence the anhydrous reaction condition, while Dever et al. processes are operated under anhydrous condition.

Finding of prima facie obviousness-rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

One having ordinary skill in the art would find the instant claims prima facie obvious **because** one would be motivated to employ the processes of Dever et al. to obtain a compound of formula (III), wherein triacyl phosphite compound of formula (III) are prepared by reacting phosphorus trihalide with carboxylic acid compounds of formula (IV) or its salt.

The motivation to make the claimed processes derives from the expectation that the instant claimed processes derived from known Dever et al. processes and would possess similar yields to that which is claimed in the reference.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

for Jos

Joseph K. McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner

Robert Shiao, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

May 6, 2004